

## Chapter 5: Buffer Overrun

→ Formerly public enemy number one (PHP exploits appear to have been number one for 2006)

1. Buffer overruns are a problem because of poor coding practices, specifically in C and C++
  - a. Memory must be managed by programmer (NOTE: this can be overcome somewhat in C++ by utilizing the STL)
  - b. They lack safe (and easy to use) string handling functions (once again, STL in C++ can mitigate this)
    - i. Stay away from strcpy, strcat, sprintf, gets, (even strncpy is vulnerable)
    - ii. Use fgets to read strings, find a library of safe string functions if possible (strsafe.h by M\$), or write your own!
  - c. No bounds checking on arrays (C++ STL helps here, too)
  - d. IF you are given a choice, try to avoid C for complex/big software development
    - i. Most OSs are C based
    - ii. Many compilers and interpreters are written in C (and are thus themselves vulnerable to overruns)
2. Stack-based overruns: occurs when a buffer declared on the stack is overwritten by copying data larger than the buffer
  - a. Usually occurs due to unchecked user input (see ch. 10: All Input is Evil)
  - b. Result is execution of arbitrary/malicious code
  - c. Often times, stack frame has return address overwritten (which leads to execution of arbitrary code) (can overwrite to return to the start of the buffer – which contains the attack payload)
  - d. See: Smashing the Stack for Fun and Profit, by Aleph One:  
<http://insecure.org/stf/smashstack.html>
  - e. “A buffer overrun is exploitable, unless it’s not” – avoid them, watch out for them, and certainly fix them at any cost
  - f. Compiler mitigation
    - i. Canaries GS (Guard Stack)
    - ii. Make stack contents static (umm, usually not practical)
    - iii. Reverse order of where things are placed on the stack (make the return address lower address than the data section – then buffer underflow is required)
  - g. Some OSs and chips can be configured to have a non-executable stack (no code on the stack can be executed directly)
3. Heap-based overruns: same idea as with a stack – arbitrary information is written to your program space on the heap (dynamically allocated memory)
  - a. Can overwrite into another dynamically allocated variable (what if the next variable holds a filename?)
  - b. Harder to exploit than stack, but still possible
4. Array Indexing Errors: not as common as buffer overruns

- a. Same concept as buffer overruns – you write past the bounds of an array and overwrite other program memory and get arbitrary code to execute
- 5. Truncation errors
  - a. Large values can be truncated based on where they are stored (word size)
  - b. Signed/unsigned issues can lead to truncation (and thus an undesired value that is used to access some memory location)
- 6. Format string bugs: a function that takes a variable number of arguments does not have a failsafe way to determine beyond a doubt how many arguments were actually passed in
  - a. `printf( )` family does this
  - b. memory space can once again be overwritten by malicious code based on how data is read in and translated based on format specifiers of the function
  - c. you should always specify a format string when using the `printf( )` family (don't just say: `printf(value);`)
- 7. Watch for size mismatches, they can be exploited
  - a. Unicode (2 bytes) vs. ASCII/ANSI (1 byte)
  - b. Checking size based on one type then using that size on the other type can lead to arbitrary code execution
- 8. PREVENTION
  - a. Always validate input – make no assumptions!
  - b. Avoid dangerous built-in functions (`strcpy( )`, etc.)
  - c. Use safe alternatives to the above (C++ STL, `strsafe.h` – included in code for book)
  - d. Use compiler options if available
  - e. Use a third party product if necessary (StackGuard)
  - f. → Write good, clean, secure code