

Dynamic programming

- is used for *optimisation* problems, where we want to find the 'best way' of doing something;
- is a recursive approach that involves breaking a global problem down into more local subproblems;
- assumes *optimal substructure* i.e. that there is a simple way to combine optimal solutions of subproblems to get an optimal global solution;
- avoids the inefficiency that straightforward recursion may suffer from *subproblem overlap* (i.e. when decomposition results in the same subproblems occurring often and being solved many times) by:
 - *memoizing* (i.e. storing the solutions of subproblems in a table and then looking them up) and:
 - computing the table bottom up rather than top down.

Dynamic programming often produces a polynomial-time algorithm for finding the optimal solution when brute force enumeration of possibilities would be exponential.

Given: a set $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ of n items where each s_i has integer value v_i and integer weight w_i .

Required: to choose a subset O of S such that the total weight of the items chosen does not exceed W and the sum of the values v_i of items in O is maximal.

How can we break the global problem down into subproblems in a way that gives subproblem optimality?

Suppose the optimal solution for S and W is a subset O in which s_k is the highest numbered item.

Then $O - \{s_k\}$ is an optimal solution for $S_{k-1} = \{s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}\}$ and total weight $W - w_k$. And the *value* of the global solution O is v_k plus the value of the subproblem solution.

Given a target weight w and a set $S_k = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ imagine examining all the subsets of S_k whose total weight is $\leq w$. Some of these subsets might have bigger total values than others. Let $V[k, w]$ be the biggest total value of such a subset of S_k . Now we give a recursive definition of $V[k, w]$.

$V[k, w] = 0$ if either $k = 0$ or $w = 0$, otherwise

$V[k, w] = \begin{cases} \text{if } w_k > w \text{ then } V[k-1, w] \\ \text{else } \max\{V[k-1, w], v_k + V[k-1, w - w_k]\} \end{cases}$

The recursive definition of $V[k, w]$

The recursive definition of $V[k, w]$ says that the value of a solution for stage S_k and target weight w either

- includes item s_k , in which case it is v_k plus a subproblem solution for S_{k-1} and total weight $w - w_k$, or
- doesn't include s_k , in which case it is just a subproblem solution for S_{k-1} and the same weight w .

The thief can solve the problem by considering the total set S_n and weight W deriving its solution from that of the subproblems S_{n-1} and weight $W - w_n$ or S_{n-1} and weight W . These subproblems in turn ...

At each stage S_k , there are two choices to be compared and the better one made:

1. If the thief picks item s_k then she gets the value v_k and can choose from items s_1, \dots, s_{k-1} up to the weight limit $w - w_k$, getting additional value $V[k-1, w - w_k]$, for a total value $v_k + V[k-1, w - w_k]$.
2. If the thief decides not to take item s_k then she can choose from items s_1, \dots, s_{k-1} up to the weight limit w , and get the value $V[k-1, w]$.

Using a table

Inputs = max weight W , item values (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) and weights (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) .

We can solve the problem by recursively computing $V[k, w]$. But to avoid subproblem overlap dynamic programming uses a bottom-up table —

The values $V[k, w]$ are stored in a table $V[0..n, 0..W]$ whose entries are computed in row-major order (i.e. the first row of V is filled in from left to right, then the second row, and so on). This bottom-up approach ensures that subproblems are solved once only.

At the end of the computation, $V[n, W]$ contains the maximum value the thief can take.

Tracing the choices — the subset of items to take can be recovered from the table V by starting at $V[n, W]$ and tracing where the optimal values came from.

If $V[k, w] = V[k-1, w]$ then item s_k is not part of the solution and we continue tracing with $V[k-1, w]$. Otherwise item s_k is part of the solution and we continue tracing with $V[k-1, w - w_k]$.

Pseudocode

Dynamic_01_knapsack($n, W, v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n$)

1. for w from 0 to W , set $V[0, w] = 0$
2. for k from 1 to n
3. set $V[k, 0] = 0$
4. for w from 1 to W
5. if $w_k > w$
6. then set $V[k, w] = V[k-1, w]$
7. else
8. if $V[k-1, w] > v_k + V[k-1, w - w_k]$
9. then set $V[k, w] = V[k-1, w]$
10. else set $V[k, w] = v_k + V[k-1, w - w_k]$

The algorithm describes how the table is filled in.

It doesn't describe how to trace the choices.

Example

Apply the algorithm to solve the 0-1 knapsack problem:

$$s_1: v_1 = 60 \quad w_1 = 10$$

$$s_2: v_2 = 100 \quad w_2 = 20$$

$$s_3: v_3 = 120 \quad w_3 = 30$$

w	0	10	20	30	40	50
k						
0						
1						
2						
3						